UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,212	04/09/2004	Gregory A. Piccionelli	39003.816US01	1326
Michael M. Gei	7590 12/05/200 rardi. Esa.	EXAMINER		
28876 Woodcre	est Lake Drive	NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
Menifee, CA 92	2384		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Astion Comments		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/	822,212	PICCIONELLI, G	PICCIONELLI, GREGORY A.		
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit			
		QU	/NH H. NGUYEN	2614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. atutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNIC n no event, however, may a re y and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10 Septem	nher 2008				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		ers, prosecution as to th	e merits is		
- /	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn fro					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepted	or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	Paper No(s 5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
	No(s)/Mail Date		6)	<u>_</u> ·			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 recite "wherein" and "whereby" clauses. The subject matter of a properly construed claim is defined by the terms that limit its scope. It is this subject matter that must be examined. As a general matter, the grammar and intended meaning of terms used in a claim will dictate whether the language limits the claim scope. Language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation. The following are examples of language that may raise a question as to the limiting effect of the language in a claim:

- (A) statements of intended use of field of use;
- (B) "adapted to" or "adapted for" clauses,
- © "wherein" clauses, or
- (D) "whereby" clauses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks et al. (U.S. Patent 6,675,386) in view of Ito et al. (US 2003/0020824).

As to claims 1 and 3, Hendricks et al. teaches the steps of:

a central cite (remote site 102) connected to network (Fig. 1, web site 112);

a plurality of cameras (Fig. 1, cameras 102', 102", 104', 104") disposed about an event site, the cameras providing view of the event site from at least two different camera angles (col. 5, lines 27-38), the cameras providing video feeds to the central site via the network (see abstract; col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 8), and

means for enabling a user to access the central site and to selectively view the event site by means of at least two of the plurality of cameras (see abstract; col. 18, line 62 through col. 19, line 4; please throughout the patent).

Hendricks does not explicitly teach a processor generating a smooth transitional view between at least two of the plurality of cameras, whereby a continuous change of camera angle is provided.

Ito teaches generating a smooth focus follow up operation when camera angle is changed continuously ([0008]), and the camera executes a smooth focus follow up action during image pickup ([0035] - [0037]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ito into the teachings of Hendricks for the purpose of making it possible for the camera to execute a smooth focus follow up

Art Unit: 2614

operation when the camera angle is changed continuously to follow a moving object and also modify Ito to generate a transitional view between cameras in order to have a more efficient system.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 1 and 3. Furthermore, Hendricks et al. teaches means for sequentially recording the feeds from the at least two of the plurality of cameras (col. 5, lines 34-43; col. 19, lines 10-16).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 3-4 are method claims. In order for a method to be considered a "process" under 101, a claimed process must either (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) (*Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-788 (1876)). If neither of these requirements is met by the claims, the method is not a patent eligible process under 101 and is non-statutory subject matter. Failure to made appropriate correction would result to 101 rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2614

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUYNH H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2614

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

/Quynh H Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).